1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 6 7 AMELIA L. BUTLER.) CASE NO. C16-1184RSM 8 Plaintiff, 9) ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION 10 v. 11 NEW HORIZONS COMPUTER LEARNING CENTERS-GREAT LAKES, 12 et al.. 13 Defendants. 14 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Notice of Emergency Motion. Dkt. 15 16 #65. Although filed as a Notice, Ms. Butler appears to be seeking the reconsideration of this Court's 17 Order revoking her In Forma Pauperis ("IFP") status on appeal. See id. and Dkt. #62. Accordingly, 18 the Court construes Ms. Butler's filing as a Motion for Reconsideration.¹ 19 "Motions for reconsideration are disfavored." LCR 7(h). "The court will ordinarily deny 20 such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of 21 22 new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to its attention earlier with 23 reasonable diligence." LCR 7(h)(1). In this case, Ms. Butler presents no persuasive argument 24 25 To the extent that Ms. Butler also makes a motion for an immediate investigation into two Ninth Circuit clerks who allegedly improperly acted as appeals judges, the Court notes that there 26 appears to be no basis for such a motion, and this Court lacks any authority to direct such an 27 investigation in any event. See Dkt. #65. To the extent that Plaintiff is attempting to appeal a Clerk's Order issued by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals after this Court revoked her IFP status, that motion must be filed with the Ninth Circuit. See Dkt. #65, Ex. 1.

ORDER PAGE - 1

that this Court committed manifest error in its prior Order, nor any new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to the Court's attention earlier without reasonable diligence. For these reasons, her motion for reconsideration (Dkt. #65) is DENIED.

DATED this 5th day of June, 2017.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE